Exhibit 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IN RE:

) CA No. 01-12257-PBS

PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY AVERAGE
)

WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION
) Pages 1 - 91

BMS FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE PATTI B. SARIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court 1 Courthouse Way, Courtroom 19 Boston, Massachusetts March 28, 2011, 2:17 p.m.

LEE A. MARZILLI
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
United States District Court
1 Courthouse Way, Room 7200
Boston, MA 02210
(617)345-6787

- 1 action complaint back then, and we intervened all these people:
- Reverend Aaronson, Mrs. Aaronson, Mrs. Howe, her late husband,
- Mr. Howe, who was our AstraZeneca class representative.
- THE COURT: You know, let's just stick right now with
- 5 BMS.
- 6 MR. HAVILAND: Sure. So the Aaronsons were appointed
- by your Honor in January of '06, okay. Your opinion, which I
- 8 have a copy of but it's a reported decision, actually makes
- 9 that appointment. And that's significant because you asked the
- question, "Where are they now?" 233 F.R.D. 229 is your
- decision, and in the very first page, Paragraph 3, you say you
- certify the following plaintiffs as representatives: David and
- Sue Ruth Aaronson as GlaxoSmithKline and BMS Class 1 reps.
- 14 They're my clients.
- Mrs. Aaronson was getting very sick at the time of her
- deposition. Her husband took the deposition not once but
- twice, so he went through the gauntlet in this case. I think
- there were ten defense lawyers that examined him the second
- time around. He's 84 years old, your Honor. He's very sick
- himself, and I just want you to know, when we sent notices out
- 21 last week --
- THE COURT: Let's just move --
- MR. HAVILAND: So Reverend Aaronson just wants to try
- to make this work, okay? So that's what I'm here to do.
- THE COURT: What happened when -- at some point I was

- under the impression that he was unhappy, he was withdrawing.
- I disqualified you. I can't even remember the whole thing.
- 3 Did he ever actually withdraw?
- MR. HAVILAND: He didn't, no. What happened was, I
- 5 think -- it's hard for me to understand what happened other
- than there was a huge breakdown between counsel in the room and
- myself in trying to communicate what my clients were saying
- 8 about what they thought about the litigation and then what the
- 9 other lawyers were doing with their TPP clients. I think that
- that's essentially what's happening. You didn't have any
- 11 Class 1 representatives over here; you had all my clients. And
- 12 I have this bad habit of calling them and talking to them a lot
- about their case, so --
- 14 THE COURT: I'm just trying to -- so we had this
- hearing, and you were angry and they were angry. And then I
- approved a class where Mr. Aaronson was not a class rep and
- didn't hear from anybody. So you're saying that he wants to
- still be a class rep?
- MR. HAVILAND: No. Let me see if I can be clear about
- that. The first one you approved was GlaxoSmithKline.
- THE COURT: I understand.
- MR. HAVILAND: \$70 million, and he's the rep there.
- THE COURT: Right. I'm talking about BMS. Tell me
- about BMS.
- MR. HAVILAND: All right, in BMS, I think what

```
Page 45
     case had settled. I'll never forget Mr. Tretter turning around
     and saying, "Well, what does Mr. Haviland think?" and I said,
 3
     "Well, it's the first I'm hearing about this, and I'll have to
     talk to Reverend Aaronson." And you said, your Honor, "Well,
 5
     as long as Mr. Haviland is co-lead counsel, keep him in the
     loop."
              So I went back and I talked to Reverend Aaronson, and
     we discussed this settlement, $13 million. This is the one
 8
 9
     that I'm here on today; a signed MOU signed by Mr. Matt and
10
     Zenola Harper, the general counsel, with Mediator Green, agreed
11
     to $13 million for consumers, which to headline where I'm going
12
     today, if you enforce that settlement, I go home.
13
              THE COURT: Excuse me. My only issue here today --
14
              MR. HAVILAND:
                             Yes.
15
              THE COURT: -- is the current settlement fair and
16
     reasonable?
                  That's part of the context.
17
              MR. HAVILAND: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: All I have in front of me today is whether
19
     the $19 million settlement is fair and reasonable. I
20
     understand you think it isn't. And I'm not here to enforce a
21
     contract because contracts can be modified, and so I'm only
22
     here as a class action judge, not a contract -- I'm not in
23
     contract litigation. But let's just -- let's just -- I just
24
     want to understand this. You don't view Aaronson as currently
25
     a class rep?
```

```
Page 48
     me that I had dismissed the whole class." Well, we took that
     appeal. And then you disqualified my firm, myself, and they
 3
     substituted in. My clients are not happy at all with how that
     case is going, and they withdrew. And your Honor now has a
 5
     sanction order against me that we've had to appeal because, you
     know --
              THE COURT: Well, where is that?
              MR. HAVILAND: It's in the First Circuit now because
 9
     you have a sanction against me ever being class counsel, and I
10
     just can't have that --
11
              THE COURT: Never being class counsel -- no, here,
12
     here.
13
              MR. HAVILAND: No, no, anywhere, your Honor, you said
14
     anywhere.
15
              MR. MATT:
                         In AWP-related litigation.
16
              THE COURT: In AWP.
17
              MR. HAVILAND: In a Federal Court.
18
              THE COURT: In AWP.
19
                             In a Federal Court, you said I cannot
              MR. HAVILAND:
20
     be class counsel --
21
              THE COURT: Yes, but not ever in any case from the
22
     beginning of time. At least I didn't plan to do that.
23
     mean --
24
              MR. HAVILAND: But why that's important, your Honor,
25
     is, I am class counsel in a New Jersey case. I've been in that
```

- $^{
 m l}$ case in 2003, and the defendants keep threatening to remove it.
- The moment I come to Federal Court I'm out, and defense counsel
- would love to see that because they disqualify me on your
- 4 order. And I've never had a vetting on that. As a matter of
- fact, your Honor, you know what I've been doing the last year?
- Trying cases. I tried a case against BMS. I got \$27.6 million
- ⁷ against them in a case in Pennsylvania, so --
- 8 THE COURT: Excuse me. That was a long time ago.
- 9 That hasn't had a ruling yet from the First Circuit?
- MR. HAVILAND: No, no, it's never had a ruling in
- the First Circuit. Every time I try to get a ruling from the
- 12 First Circuit it gets opposed. So the sanction order you just
- did, which I think the issue which we're doing a reply brief
- this week on is, counsel in the room says it's an extension of
- your disqualification order.
- Your Honor, I would be happy to never come --
- THE COURT: What? You are now losing me. Can I just,
- like, just focus here?
- MR. HAVILAND: Please.
- THE COURT: So they said, "We're not happy the way
- things are going, and, therefore, if he's not counsel, we don't
- want to be part of this." I remember viewing that as a
- withdrawal.
- MR. HAVILAND: Okay. I didn't hear that, your Honor,
- nor did I think the counsel because we continued with the